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## Section 1 Instructor

|  | Relative Frequency Distribution of Response |  |  |  |  |  | Section Statistics |  |  | Dept. Statistics |  |  | College Statistics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | NA | Mean | Std. Dev. | N | Mean | Std. <br> Dev. | N | Mean | Std. <br> Dev. |
| 1) The instructor provided a syllabus and reviewed course expectations at the beginning of the semester. | 15 | 73.3 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 |  | 4.53 | 1.06 | 1740 | 4.61 | 0.68 | 17375 | 4.63 | 0.68 |
| 2) The instructor presented the course in a clear, logical and organized manner. | 15 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 4.67 | 0.49 | 1731 | 4.42 | 0.88 | 17332 | 4.45 | 0.89 |
| 3) The instructor encouraged student participation, questions, and discussion as the class size allowed. | 13 | 46.2 | 30.8 | 23.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 4.23 | 0.83 | 1625 | 4.28 | 1.01 | 16804 | 4.48 | 0.86 |
| 4) The instructor encouraged critical thinking and evaluation of ideas and evidence. | 14 | 57.1 | 35.7 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.5 | 0.65 | 1696 | 4.35 | 0.92 | 17205 | 4.49 | 0.84 |
| 5) The instructor was well prepared for class. | 15 | 73.3 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 4.73 | 0.46 | 1687 | 4.44 | 0.87 | 16958 | 4.48 | 0.87 |
| 6) Tests, examinations, projects, papers, or creative activities reflected materials emphasized in the course. | 15 | 66.7 | 26.7 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 4.6 | 0.63 | 1729 | 4.37 | 0.94 | 17336 | 4.49 | 0.84 |
| 7) The grading practices were clear, consistently followed and fair. | 15 | 60.0 | 20.0 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 6.7 |  | 4.27 | 1.16 | 1731 | 4.37 | 0.95 | 17337 | 4.41 | 0.94 |
| 8) The instructor evaluated exams, quizzes, papers or creative activities in a timely manner. | 15 | 53.3 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 |  | 4.33 | 1.05 | 1719 | 4.38 | 0.93 | 17266 | 4.41 | 0.95 |
| 9) The teaching assistant was receptive to questions. | 11 | 45.5 | 18.2 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4 | 4.09 | 0.94 | 1210 | 4.15 | 1.02 | 12469 | 4.3 | 0.94 |
| 10) The teaching assistant was available for assistance to students. | 10 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4 | 4 | 0.94 | 1196 | 4.13 | 1.02 | 12336 | 4.28 | 0.95 |

## Section 2 Common University-Wide Items

|  | Relative Frequency Distribution of Response |  |  |  |  | Section Statistics |  |  | Dept. Statistics |  |  | College Statistics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Outstanding | Above Average | Average | Below Average | Poor | N | Mean | Std. Dev. | N | Mean | Std. <br> Dev. | N | Mean | Std. <br> Dev. |
| 11) The overall teaching effectiveness of this instructor is | 53.3 | 26.7 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 15 | 4.2 | 1.15 | 1743 | 4.09 | 1.04 | 17453 | 4.22 | 1 |
| 12) The overall quality of this course is | 40.0 | 33.3 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 15 | 4 | 1.13 | 1743 | 4.03 | 1.05 | 17424 | 4.18 | 1.02 |
| 13) This instructors availability for individual assistance is | 46.7 | 20.0 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 15 | 4 | 1.2 | 1740 | 4.07 | 1.04 | 17388 | 4.26 | 0.98 |
| 14) This instructors demonstration of respect for students is | 53.3 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 15 | 4.27 | 1.1 | 1737 | 4.28 | 0.97 | 17386 | 4.44 | 0.88 |

## Section 3

|  | Never | Very Seldom | Quarter of the time | About half the time | More than half the time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15) How often did the instructor come | 93.3 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |



## Section 5 Distance Education

|  | Relative Frequency Distribution of Response |  |  |  |  |  | Section Statistics |  |  | Dept. Statistics |  |  | College Statistics |  |  | Dist Edu Stats |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Strongly Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly Disagree | NA | N | Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std } \\ & \text { Dev } \end{aligned}$ | N | Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std } \\ & \text { Dev } \end{aligned}$ | N | Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std } \\ & \text { Dev } \end{aligned}$ | N | Mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std } \\ & \text { Dev } \end{aligned}$ |
| 23) Course Objectives were clearly indicated in the syllabus or somewhere online | 80.0 | 13.3 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 15 | 4.73 | 0.59 | 1631 | 4.56 | 0.7 | 16859 | 4.59 | 0.71 | 47542 | 4.51 | 0.77 |
| 24) The quizzes/tests and/or assignments were related to the lectures and course material | 60.0 | 26.7 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 6.7 |  | 15 | 4.33 | 1.11 | 1628 | 4.44 | 0.87 | 16774 | 4.54 | 0.77 | 47005 | 4.48 | 0.8 |
| 25) Grading criteria for this course was clear | 73.3 | 6.7 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 6.7 |  | 15 | 4.4 | 1.18 | 1621 | 4.41 | 0.87 | 16749 | 4.46 | 0.87 | 47262 | 4.39 | 0.91 |
| 26) The instructor or TA provided timely feedback and was available to discuss my progress | 58.3 | 8.3 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3 | 12 | 4.25 | 0.97 | 1386 | 4.2 | 1.02 | 14984 | 4.34 | 0.95 | 44062 | 4.29 | 0.99 |
| 27) The technology used to deliver this course was helpful [beneficial] to learning the material | 76.9 | 15.4 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2 | 13 | 4.69 | 0.63 | 1582 | 4.26 | 1 | 16481 | 4.36 | 0.93 | 46680 | 4.31 | 0.95 |
| 28) Technical assistance was available when I needed it | 50.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3 | 12 | 4.25 | 0.87 | 1367 | 4.22 | 0.94 | 14176 | 4.34 | 0.9 | 41789 | 4.3 | 0.92 |

## Section 6 Students' Comments
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[^0]:    Mean $=5$ point scale, higher scores desirable
    N, Mean=The college statistic data for items 11 through 14 include Distance Education.

